

124
5
591

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE

DATED THIS THE 3RD DAY OF APRIL 1998

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K H N KURANGA

WRIT PETITION NO. 40866-68/1993

BETWEEN:

B.Seetharam, s/o Anthappa
Poojary, Aged about 58 yrs,
Contractor, Marnami Katta,
Mangalore, D.K.

2. Manel Namadev Shenoy,
s/o M Narayana shenoy,
aged about 55 yrs,
Businessman,
Near Mangaladevi Temple
Mangalore, D.K.

3. Vimala Rai, w/o Rajaram rai,
Aged about 46 yrs,
Managanagar layout,
Mangaladevi,
Mangalore, D.K.

..Petitioners
Respectively.

(By Sri Sherin Zafrulla for petr)

.....2

592
/2/

AND:

1. The Commissioner for Hindu
Religious & Charitable
Endowments in Karnataka,
Shree Malai Mahadeshwara temple complex,
Albert Victor road,
Chamarajpet,
Bangalore-18.

2. A Ramahath Hegde,
s/o A Karunakar Hegde, Major,
Marigudi road,
Near Mangaladevi temple,
Mangalore, D.K.

3. B Narayana Karkera, Major,
Ex-Corporator, Mulihithalu,
Mangalore-575 001, D.K.

4. M Vasukumar,
s/o Hoovayya,
Major, Mangalanagar,
Mangaladevi, Mangalore.
D.K.

5. B Rama Nayak, Major,
Mangaladevi Temple,
Mangaladevi, Mangalore. D.K.

6. Shree Mangaladevi Temple,
Rep. by its Managing Trustee,
Mangaladevi,
Mangalore. D.K. ✓

✓ /3/ 593

7. The Deputy Commissioner for
Hindu Religious & Charitable
Endowments, D.K.Dist.,
Mangalore.

..Respondents. Common in
all U.P.s.

(By Sri M N Ramanjaneya gowda HCGP for R1 & 7
Sri M N Hegde for R 2-5
R6 Sd)

W.P filed u/a 226 & 227 of the constitution
of India with an affidavit praying to: Quash the
order dtd.16.11.93 bearing No.ADM 8:CR:136:93-94
passed by 1st respondent at Annex.B and etc.,

W.P coming on for Hearing this day, the court
made the following:

ORDER

The petitioners have in these petitions sought
for quashing of the order dated 16.11.1993 Annexure B
and to direct the first respondent to make appointment
of Non-Hereditary Trustees to the petition temple
(6th respondent-Shree Mangaladevi Temple) afresh in
accordance with law.

By Annexure-B the first respondent appointed
respondents-2 to 5 as Non-Hereditary Trustees for a

.....x..4

/4/

for a period of five years from the date of the order.

The date of the order is 16.11.1993. This Court has not granted stay of Annexure-B. Now the order Annexure B must have been given effect to. Only about 5 months are left for completion of 5 years mentioned in Annexure-B.

At this point of time, I am of the opinion that ^{it is} not appropriate to interfere with the order Annexure-B passed by the first respondent and accordingly the petitions are disposed of.

Sd/-Judge.

Cu

r.by: 20/8/98

c.by: L.

COPY

62

Assistant Registrar
High Court of Karnataka
Bangalore-560 001

7/10/98

21-8-L

